IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITOIN NO.16 OF 2003

1. Smt. Nalini Vyanktesh Sabnis,
2. Kum. Anita Sabnis,
3. Kum. Suchita Sabnis,
4. Shri Rajesh Sabnis,

All residing at House No.654/1
Manaswada, Kundaim, Goa. ..... Petitioners.

Vs.

1. Smt. Manikabai Anant Kamat,
resident of Kundaim, Goa.
2. Shri Dharmanand Anant Kamat,
resident of Margao, Goa.
3. Smt. Vijaya Dharmanand Kamat,
resident of Margao, Goa.
4. Shri Shekhar Anant Kamat,
resident of United Kingdom,
5. Shri Santosh Anant Kamat,
resident of Kundaim, Goa.
6. Smt. Manisha Shripad Sukerkar,
7. Shri Shripad Jaywant Sukerkar,
both resident of Bombay,
8. Smt. Sheila Vinayanand Keni,
9. Shri Vinayanand Vassant Keni,
both resident of Cuncoliem,
Bali, respondents 1, 3 to 9
through their constituted attorney
the respondent No.2, resident of
Z-S-6, Junata Housing Society,
Aquem Alto, Margao, Goa. .... Respondents.

Mr. Valmiki Menezes, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sudin M.S. Usgaonkar, Advocate for the respondents.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO, J.

DATE : JULY 24, 2003.

ORAL JUDGMENT:

Rule. The respondents waive service. Heard forthwith.

By this Writ Petition, the petitioners seek setting aside of the ex-parte Orders dated 12.10.98, 7.7.98, 19.4.1999 and 22.12.1999. Considering what has been set out while disposing of the Civil Revision Application No.198/02, and Appeal From Order No. 4/03, to my mind, the ends of justice would be met, if the writ petition is allowed and the impugned orders dated 12.10.98, 7.7.98, 19.4.99 and 22.12.99 are set aside. Rule accordingly. No order as to costs.

F.I. REBELLO, J.

ssm.